# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

# TRANSLATION INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 2002P17083WO				FOR FURTHER A	ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416					
International application No.				International filing d	ate (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)					
PCT/EP2004/052678				3 27.10.200	)4	31.10.2003					
Internati	International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC										
A61B6/04											
Applicar SIE		AKT	IENGE:	SELLSCHAFT							
1.	This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.										
2.	This R	EPORT c	onsists of a	total of 7	sheets, including	ng this cover sheet.					
3.	This re	port is als	so accompan	ied by ANNEXES, comprising	;						
	a. 🔀	(sent	to the appli	cant and to the International B	ureau) a total of 8	sheets, as follows:					
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).										
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.										
	ь. Г	\ \( \text{(sent)}		national Bureau only) a total of	f (indicate type and numb	er of electronic carrier(s))					
	_	<b>_</b> (			- (						
	, containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).										
4.	This re	port conta	ains indicati	ons relating to the following ite	ms:						
	$\boxtimes$	Box No.	I Ba	sis of the report							
		Box No.	II Pri	ority							
	Box No. III Non-estab		on-establishment of opinion wit	lishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
	Box No. IV Lack of un		ck of unity of invention	nity of invention							
	$\boxtimes$	Box No.		asoned statement under Article ations and explanations support		elty, inventive step or industrial applicability;					
	Box No. VI Certain do		rtain documents cited	cuments cited							
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international				l application						
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application										
Date of submission of the demand					Date of completion of t	his report					
Name ar	ıd mailii	ng address	s of the IPEA	<b>V</b> EP	Authorized officer						
Faccimila No.					Talanhana Na						

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/052678

Box	No. I	Basis of the report									
1.		h regard to the language, this report is based on the internation cated under this item.	onal application in the language in	which it was filed, unless otherwise							
	This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:										
		international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))  publication of the international application (Rule 12.4	<b>N</b>								
2.	rece	international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3) regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the tiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to report):  the international application as originally filed/furnished									
	$\boxtimes$	the description:									
		pages <u>6-10</u>		as originally filed/furnished 29.04.2005 with letter							
		pages* 1-5,5a	received by this Authority on								
		pages*	received by this Authority on								
	$\boxtimes$	the claims:									
		nos.		as originally filed/furnished							
		nos.*	as amended (togethe	er with any statement) under Article 19							
		nos.* 1-8		29.04.2005 with letter							
		nos.*									
	$\square$	the drawings:									
		· ·									
				as originally filed/furnished							
		sheets*	•								
	$\Box$										
	님	a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplement	nental Box Relating to Sequence L	isting.							
3.	Ш	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:									
		the description, pages									
		the claims, nos.									
		the drawings, sheets/figs									
		the sequence listing (specify):									
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):									
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amend they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as fi									
		the description, pages									
		the claims, nos.									
			the drawings, sheets/figs								
		the sequence listing (specify):									
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):									
*	If ite	em 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "sup	erseded."								

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/052678

Вох		Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
1.	Statement				
	Novelty (N)	Claims	5-7	YES	
		Claims	1-4, 8	_ NO	
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES	
		Claims	1-8	_ NO	
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-8	_ YES	
		Claims		_ NO	

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

This report makes reference to the following documents:

D1: EP0812567 A

D2: US4360936 A

D3: US2002/0112288 A

D4: GB2286887 A

D5: US6195578 B

D6: FR2529088 A

1. A patient-supporting device **for** a computer tomograph must be construed to mean merely a patient-supporting device which is suitable for a computer tomograph.

Moreover, claim 1 relates to a patient-supporting device; features relating to the computer tomograph are superfluous and do not restrict the subject matter of claim 1.

Moreover, it is unclear whether the (undefined) computer tomograph is part of the patient-supporting device. As a result, the definition of the claimed subject matter is unclear (PCT Article 6).

2. Regardless of the above-mentioned lack of clarity, the

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

subject matter of claim 1 is not novel (PCT Article 33(2)), and therefore the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) are not met.

2.1 Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses
are to that document):

a patient-supporting device for a computer tomograph, the patient-supporting device comprising a height-adjusting system (column 3, lines 40-42) designed to bear a patient bed in a vertically adjustable manner, the height-adjusting system being mounted on the computer tomograph, to the side of the examination opening.

The patient-supporting device described in document D1 is mounted on an X-ray device which contains a C-shaped arch (gantry) which forms an examination opening. Moreover, D1 discloses a tomograph (26). The patient-supporting device disclosed in document D1 is also <u>suitable</u> for a computer tomograph (see also D1, column 2, lines 1-4).

The subject matter of claim 1 is therefore not novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

2.2 Document D4 (see, in particular, figures 1 and 2) also discloses a patient-supporting device (D4, 3) having a height-adjusting system (D4, 5) mounted on an examination device, to the side of the examination opening. The patient-supporting device disclosed in document D4 is also suitable for a computer tomograph.

The subject matter of claim 1 is therefore not novel (PCT

PCT/EP2004/052678

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Article 33(2)).

2.3 Document D5 (see, in particular, figure 1) also discloses a patient-supporting device (D5, 3) having a height-adjusting system (D5, column 3, lines 17-25) mounted on an examination device (D5, 1), to the side of the examination opening (D5, 2). The table (D5, 3) and the height-adjusting system (D5, 5) are linked to the examination device (D5, 1) (figures 1, 7). The patient-supporting device disclosed in document D5 is also suitable for a computer tomograph.

The subject matter of claim 1 is therefore not novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

2.4 Document D6 (see, in particular, figure 1) discloses a patient-supporting device (D6, 4) having a height-adjusting system (D6, column 5, lines 25-32) mounted on an examination device (D6, 1), to the side of the examination opening (D6, 3). The patient-supporting device disclosed in document D6 is also <u>suitable</u> for a computer tomograph.

The subject matter of claim 1 is therefore not novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

3. Dependent claims 2-7 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(2) for novelty or PCT Article 33(3) for inventive step.

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

3.1 The features of claims 2-4 are disclosed in document D1, for example: see figure 1 and column 3, lines 40-46. The features of claim 2 are also disclosed in document D4 (see figure 1).

The subject matter of claims 2-4 is therefore not novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

3.2 The subject matter of dependent claims 4 and 5 relates to minor structural modifications of claim 1 which lie within the scope of what a person skilled in the art routinely does, on the basis of familiar considerations, especially since this structure is well known and often used (see also documents D1, D2 and D5), and the advantages achieved thereby are easily foreseeable. Consequently, the subject matter of claims 4 and 5 does not involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

A patient-supporting device (as per claims 6 and 7) arranged on both sides of a computer tomograph is well known to a person skilled in the art and is often used; see document D3, in particular figure 5. It would therefore be obvious for a person skilled in the art to apply these features to a conventional computer tomograph, to like effect, without an inventive input (PCT Article 33(3)).

4. The present application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claim 8 does not involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/052678

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Document D1 discloses an X-ray device comprising a patient-supporting device as per claim 1. Document D4 and document D5 further disclose a MIR device (magnetic resonance) which also comprises a patient-supporting device. It is thus well known to a person skilled in the art to provide an imaging device with a patient-supporting device (as per claim 1). In addition, it would be obvious for a person skilled in the art to use a patient-supporting device as described in documents D1 and D4-D6 with a computer tomograph. Consequently, the subject matter of claim 8 does not involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).